



## JAMIE M. MCCALL

### PARTNER

D 610.421.1535

[jmccall@ktmc.com](mailto:jmccall@ktmc.com)

#### FOCUS AREAS

Securities Fraud

#### EDUCATION

Franklin & Marshall College  
B.A., 1997

University of Pittsburgh School of Law  
J.D., 2000

#### ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania

USCA, Third Circuit

Jamie M. McCall is a partner in the Firm who concentrates on securities fraud litigation. Prior to joining the Firm, Jamie spent twelve years with the Department of Justice in the U.S. Attorney's Offices for Miami, Florida and Wilmington, Delaware, where he oversaw complex criminal investigations ranging from securities, tax, bank and wire frauds, to the theft of trade secrets and cybercrime.

Jamie has successfully tried numerous jury trials, including a seven-week securities fraud trial, which arose from financial conduct during the Great Recession, and resulted in trial verdicts against four bank executives and a \$60 million civil settlement to victim-shareholders; and a five-week multi-defendant stalking-murder case, which stemmed from the 2013-shootout at the New Castle County Courthouse in Delaware, and resulted in first-in-the-nation convictions for "cyberstalking resulting in death" under the Violence Against Women Act. For his work on both of these cases, Jamie was twice awarded the Director's Award for Superior Performance by the Department of Justice. Most recently, Jamie served as the section chief for the National Security and Cybercrime Division for the Delaware U.S. Attorney's office.

Jamie also spent several years practicing civil law at Morgan, Lewis & Bockius in Philadelphia, where he worked on major, high-stakes litigation matters involving Fortune 250 companies. Jamie began his legal career as a Judge Advocate in the Marine Corps, working primarily as a prosecutor and achieving the rank of Captain. In 2004, Jamie served for nearly five months as the principal legal advisor to 1st Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment in and around

Fallujah, Iraq, including during the First Battle of Fallujah.

Jamie maintains an active membership in the Federal Bar Association, District of Delaware chapter. He has presented on numerous issues involving corporate and securities fraud. He was also a featured interview on CBS's "60 Minutes" in a segment about theft of original correspondence by Christopher Columbus, most recently aired in August 2020.

Jamie has received numerous awards for his work in securities fraud and cybercrime, along with respective military service awards, including the Navy & Marine Corps Commendation Medal, Navy & Marine Corps Achievement Medal, Combat Action Ribbon, and Global War Against Terrorism Expeditionary Medal.

### Current Cases

- Catalent, Inc.

This securities fraud class action brings claims against Catalent, Inc. ("Catalent" or the "Company"), an outsourced drug manufacturer for pharmaceutical and biotech companies, and certain of its former senior executives (together, "Defendants"). The case arises out of Defendants' alleged material misrepresentations and omissions regarding the Company's key production facilities and revenue in the face of declining demand for COVID-19 vaccine products.

According to Plaintiffs, Catalent initially benefitted from the COVID-19 pandemic, which increased demand for Catalent's services and catapulted the Company to record high revenues. However, as demand for COVID-19 vaccines waned as a critical mass of Americans were vaccinated, so too did demand for Catalent's services, leaving the Company with diminishing revenues, a bloated headcount, excess production capacity at its newly expanded facilities, and increasing safety and quality control issues at key production facilities in Bloomington, Indiana; Brussels, Belgium; and Harmans, Maryland.

Rather than admit this truth, however, Defendants made a set of false and misleading statements during the Class Period touting: (i) the good condition and well-maintained nature of Catalent's key production facilities (the "Quality Control Statements"); (ii) the Company's compliance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (the "GAAP Compliance Statements"); and (iii) non-COVID related demand for the Company's products and services (the "Non-Vaccine Demand Statements").

On September 15, 2023, Plaintiffs filed a 187-page complaint on behalf of a putative class of investors alleging that Defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. On November 15, 2023, Defendants moved to dismiss the complaint, which Plaintiffs opposed on January 12, 2024. Briefing

on the motion was completed on February 15, 2024.

On June 28, 2024, Honorable Judge Zahid N. Quraishi granted in part and denied in part Defendants' motion to dismiss. In the Order, Judge Quraishi held that a subset of Plaintiffs' alleged Quality Control Statements and GAAP Compliance Statements were actionably misleading. The case is in fact discovery.

- Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.

This securities fraud class action case arises out of Goldman Sachs' role in the 1Malaysia Development Berhad ("1MDB") money laundering scandal, one of the largest financial frauds in recent memory.

In 2012 and 2013, Goldman served as the underwriter for 1MDB, the Malaysia state investment fund masterminded by financier Jho Low, in connection with three state-guaranteed bond offerings that raised over \$6.5 billion. Goldman netted \$600 million in fees for the three bond offerings—over 100 times the customary fee for comparable deals.

In concert with Goldman, Low and other conspirators including government officials from Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, and the United Arab Emirates ran an expansive bribery ring, siphoning \$4.5 billion from the bond deals that Goldman peddled as investments for Malaysian state energy projects. In actuality, the deals were shell transactions used to facilitate the historic money laundering scheme. Nearly \$700 million of the diverted funds ended up in the private bank account of Najib Razak, Malaysia's now-disgraced prime minister who was convicted for abuse of power in 2020. Other funds were funneled to Low and his associates and were used to buy luxury real estate in New York and Paris, super yachts, and even help finance the 2013 film "The Wolf of Wall Street."

AP7 filed a 200-page complaint in October 2019 on behalf of a putative class of investors alleging that Goldman and its former executives, including former CEO Lloyd Blankfein and former President Gary Cohn, violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act by making false and misleading statements about Goldman's role in the 1MDB fraud. As alleged, when media reports began to surface about the collapse of 1MDB, Goldman denied any involvement in the criminal scheme. Simultaneously, Goldman misrepresented its risk controls and continued to falsely tout the robustness of its compliance measures. Following a series of revelations about investigations into allegations of money laundering and corruption at 1MDB, Goldman's stock price fell precipitously, causing significant losses and damages to the Company's investors.

In October 2020, the U.S. Department of Justice announced that Goldman's Malaysia subsidiary had pled guilty to violating the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA") which criminalizes the

payment of bribes to foreign officials, and that Goldman had agreed to pay \$2.9 billion pursuant to a deferred prosecution agreement. This amount includes the largest ever penalty under the FCPA.

On June 28, 2021, The Honorable Vernon S. Broderick of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York sustained Plaintiff's complaint in a 44-page published opinion. On July 31, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion to amend the complaint to conform the pleadings to the evidence adduced during discovery, which is now complete.

Plaintiff first moved for class certification in November 2021. While that motion was pending, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion to amend the complaint and subsequently ordered that Plaintiff's motion for class certification be newly briefed in light of the amended pleading. On September 29, 2023, Plaintiff renewed its motion for class certification. On September 4, 2025, U.S. District Judge Vernon S. Broderick of the Southern District of New York issued a 35-page opinion adopting the 2024 Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Katharine H. Parker recommending certification of the shareholder class in *Sjunde AP-Fonden v. The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-12084. The Court's decision follows a full-day evidentiary hearing and oral argument held in February 2024. Defendants have filed a petition appealing the Court's decision.

[Read Third Amended Class Action Complaint Here](#)

[Read Opinion and Order Granting and Denying in Part Motion to Dismiss Here](#)

[Read the Report and Recommendation on Motion for Class Certification Here](#)

- Humana, Inc.

Defendant Humana Inc. is an insurance and healthcare company that provides medical benefit plans to approximately 16.3 million people. This securities fraud class action arises out of Humana's materially false or misleading statements concerning the profitability and quality of its core Medicare Advantage business, which generates the vast majority of the Company's revenue. Medicare Advantage plans provide health insurance to seniors over the age of 65 and those under 65 with particular disabilities.

On November 20, 2024, Plaintiff filed a 215-page complaint on behalf of a putative class of investors alleging that Defendants Humana, its former Chief Executive Officer, Bruce D. Broussard, and current Chief Financial Officer, Susan Diamond, violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.

As alleged in the Complaint, Humana reaped record profits during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic due to abnormally low use of healthcare services by the Company's Medicare Advantage

members. By mid-2022, investors were concerned that Humana would see heightened healthcare utilization, and therefore lower profits, as its Medicare Advantage members began seeking care that had been deferred during the pandemic. For Humana, member utilization and the associated cost of providing member benefits is the key measure of the Company's profitability. During the Class Period, Defendants assured investors that the Company was continuing to experience favorable utilization trends in its Medicare Advantage business, and downplayed worries about future utilization increases. In addition, Defendants touted as a competitive advantage and revenue-driver Humana's Star ratings—a quality measure assigned each year by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS") that had historically resulted in billions of dollars in additional payments to Humana.

However, unbeknownst to investors, as the effects of the pandemic abated, Defendants knew that the depressed utilization had created a massive backlog of healthcare needs, particularly elective surgical procedures. By the beginning of the Class Period in July 2022, Defendants knew that there was a surge of Medicare Advantage members seeking previously deferred care, which was significantly increasing the Company's benefit expenses. Moreover, Defendants knew that the Company's own internal analyses showed that Humana faced a significant downgrade in its Star ratings, jeopardizing billions in Medicare revenue.

The Complaint alleges that Defendants actively concealed the Company's increased Medicare Advantage utilization through improper denials of claims for medical services and aggressive prior authorization practices. At the same time, Defendants undertook a series of destructive cost-cutting measures and headcount reductions. These cost-cutting measures led to declines in the quality of Humana's Medicare Advantage benefit plans, and ultimately, its Star ratings by hamstringing the departments responsible for ensuring that Humana's members had access to high quality, accessible, and efficient healthcare.

The truth regarding Humana's increased utilization began to emerge in June 2023, causing a series of stock price declines in the latter half of 2023 and early 2024. Throughout this period, Defendants continued to tout the Company's Star ratings and claimed that they could offset the Company's increased utilization costs through further cost cuts. Then, in October 2024, the truth regarding the dramatic decline in Humana's Medicare Advantage plans was revealed when the Company's significantly degraded Star ratings were released by CMS, causing another precipitous drop in Humana's stock price. Defendants moved to dismiss the Complaint in January 2025. Briefing on Defendants' motion to dismiss concluded in April 2025 and is pending before the Court.

[Read Amended Class Action Complaint Here](#)

## News

- November 5, 2025 - KTMC Secures \$239 Million Recovery for Investors in Celgene Securities Fraud Suit
- September 5, 2025 - Kessler Topaz Secures Class Certification in Goldman Sachs Fraud Suit Involving 1MDB Corruption Scandal
- April 9, 2024 - Kessler Topaz Achieves Class Certification Win in 1MDB Fraud Suit Against Goldman Sachs
- September 15, 2020 - Former Federal Prosecutor Jamie M. McCall Joins Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check Securities Litigation Group

## Speaking Engagements

- Featured Interview, "Who's Stealing Christopher Columbus Letters from Libraries Around the World?", 60 MINUTES, CBS News, October 20, 2019
- Panel Instructor, "Prosecuting Lies to the Federal Reserve: A Case Study of Delaware's Wilmington Trust Investigation and Prosecution," Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Conference of Counsel, April 2019
- Panel Instructor, "Corporate Investigations and Individual Accountability," National Advocacy Center for the Department of Justice, Advanced Fraud and Economic Crimes Seminar, November 2018
- Panel Moderator, "Case Study: *United States v. Matusiewicz, et al.*," District of Delaware Federal Bar Association, Bench and Bar Conference, May 2018
- Panel Moderator, "Cyberstalking and Lethal Consequences," Beau Biden Foundation, Technology & Child Protection Conference, October 2017
- Panel Moderator, "*United States v. Matusiewicz*. A Case Study in Cyberstalking," Conference on the Investigation & Prosecution of Crimes of Stalking, Dover, Delaware, October 2016

## Publications

Co-Author: "*A Mother Vindicated: Landmark Cyberstalking Case*," Domestic Violence Report, Vol. 22, No. 6, Aug./Sept. 2017

Co-Author: "*United States v. Matusiewicz: Lessons Learned From the First Federal Prosecution of Cyberstalking Resulting in Death*," The United States Attorneys' Bulletin, May 2016, Vol. 64

## Awards/Rankings

- Executive Office for United States Attorneys, Department of Justice, Director's Award for Superior Performance by a

Litigative Team, *United States v. Wilmington Trust Corp., et al.*, September 2020

- Chief of Internal Revenue Service-Criminal Investigation Division's Excellence Award for Tax/Financial Investigation into Wilmington Trust Corp., August 2019
- Executive Office for United States Attorneys, Department of Justice, Director's Award for Superior Performance by a Litigative Team, *United States v. Matusiewicz, et al.*, May 2019
- Delaware Valley Chapter, International Association of Financial Crimes Investigators Task Force of the Year Award, May 2019
- Federal Bureau of Investigation, Special Recognition Award for *United States v. Wilmington Trust Corp., et al.*, May 2018
- Federal Bureau of Investigation, Special Recognition Award for *United States v. Matusiewicz, et al.*, February 2016
- Cybersecurity Trailblazer Award, The National Law Journal, December 2015
- Younger Federal Lawyer of the Year Award, Federal Bar Association, 2004
- Navy & Marine Corps Commendation Medal, Navy & Marine Corps Achievement Medal, Combat Action Ribbon, Global War Against Terrorism Expeditionary Medal

### Memberships

- Federal Bar Association, Delaware Chapter