UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NEW JERSEY CARPENTERS VACATION FUND, ET AL.,

Civ. No. 08-5093-LAP

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, ET AL.,

Defendants.

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION, PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER, AND PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, SETTLEMENT FAIRNESS HEARING AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES

<u>A Federal Court authorized this Notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.</u>

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION: Please be advised that your rights may be affected by the above-captioned class action lawsuit pending in this Court (the "Action") if you purchased or otherwise acquired an interest in any certificate in any of the following Harborview Mortgage Loan Trusts: Harborview Mortgage Loan Trust, Series ("Harborview") 2006-4, Harborview 2006-5, Harborview 2006-6, Harborview 2006-7, Harborview 2006-8, Harborview 2006-9, Harborview 2006-10, Harborview 2006-11, Harborview 2006-12, Harborview 2006-14, Harborview 2007-5 and Harborview 2007-7.¹

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT: Please also be advised that lead plaintiffs New Jersey Carpenters Vacation Fund and Boilermaker Blacksmith National Pension Trust (the "Lead Plaintiffs"), and additional named plaintiffs Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System, Midwest Operating Engineers Pension Trust Fund, and Laborers' Pension Fund and Health and Welfare Department of the Construction and General Laborers District Counsel of Chicago and Vicinity ("Additional Plaintiffs" and, with Lead Plaintiffs, the "Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class (as defined in ¶ 1 below), have reached a proposed settlement (the "Settlement") of the Action for a total of \$275 million U.S. dollars in cash that will resolve all claims in the Action against defendants The Royal Bank of Scotland Group, plc., RBS Financial Products Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc., RBS Acceptance Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital, RBS Holdings USA Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Holdings Inc., Robert J. McGinnis, Carol P. Mathis, Joseph N. Walsh, III, John C. Anderson and James M. Esposito (collectively, the "Defendants"), on the terms set forth below.

This Notice explains important rights you may have, including your possible receipt of cash from the Settlement. Your legal rights will be affected whether or not you act. **PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY!**

1. **Description of the Action and the Settlement Class**: The proposed Settlement, if approved by the Court, will apply to the following Class (the "Settlement Class"):

all persons and entities who, at any time, purchased or otherwise acquired an interest in any certificate in any of the following Harborview trusts: Harborview 2006-4, Harborview 2006-5, Harborview 2006-6, Harborview 2006-7, Harborview 2006-8, Harborview 2006-9, Harborview 2006-10, Harborview 2006-11, Harborview 2006-12, Harborview 2006-14, Harborview 2007-1, Harborview 2007-2, Harborview 2007-5 and Harborview 2007-7 (hereinafter the "Certificates"); *except* those Persons that

¹ All capitalized terms that are not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the "Stipulation").

timely and validly request exclusion from the class pursuant to and in accordance with the terms of the Preliminary Approval Order. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are (i) the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, and the Federal Housing Finance Agency acting as conservator for either such entity; and (ii) each Defendant, their officers and directors at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which any Defendant has or had a controlling interest, *except* for any Investment Vehicle.

- 2. <u>Statement of Settlement Class's Recovery</u>: Subject to Court approval, and as described more fully in ¶¶ 48-50 below, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class, have agreed to settle all Released Claims against the Defendants in exchange for a settlement payment of \$275 million in cash (the "Settlement Amount") to be deposited into an interest-bearing escrow account (the "Settlement Fund") and certain other terms. The Settlement Fund less all Taxes, Tax Expenses, Notice and Administration Costs, and attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses awarded to Lead Counsel (the "Net Settlement Fund") will be distributed to members of the Settlement Class in accordance with a plan of allocation (the "Plan of Allocation") that will be submitted and approved by the Court. The proposed Plan of Allocation is included in this Notice, and may be modified by the Court without further notice.
- 3. <u>Statement of Average Distribution Per \$1,000 in Initial Certificate Value</u>: The Settlement Fund consists of \$275 million plus any interest earned while the funds are held in the escrow account. Based on the total original principal balances of the Certificates as stated in the prospectus supplements (without subtracting the principal pay downs received on the Certificates, but subtracting the Certificates purchased by the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, and Defendants or their affiliates, or the Certificates that were never sold) the estimated average distribution is \$16.73 per \$1,000 in original principal balance of the Certificates for Ten Trading Day Claimants (defined below); \$3.52 per \$1,000 in original principal balance of the Certificates for General Claimants (defined below). Settlement Class Members may recover more or less than this amount depending on, among other factors, when their Certificates were purchased or sold, the amount of principal that has been repaid, the value of the Certificates on the applicable date a claim relating to that Certificate was first asserted in the lawsuit, the number of Settlement Class Members who timely file Proof of Claim Forms, the Plan of Allocation, and their Group status as more fully described below in this Notice.
- 4. <u>Estimate of Average Amount of Recovery</u>: The estimate of "Average Amount of Recovery Fund" (the Settlement Fund less taxes, notice and administration costs and other related expenses) shall be divided among members of the Settlement Class as follows:
 - a. Group 1 will be composed of those Certificate holders (hereinafter the "Ten Trading Day Claimants") who purchased or acquired Certificates in any one of the following twelve Harborview trusts Harborview 2006-4, 2006-5, 2006-6, 2006-7, 2006-9, 2006-11, 2006-12, 2006-14, 2007-1, 2007-2, 2007-5 and 2007-7 directly from Defendants or their agents within ten trading days of the date when their Certificates were first publicly offered (the "Ten Trading Day Periods");
 - b. Group 2 will be composed of those Certificate holders (hereinafter, the "General Claimants") who purchased or acquired Certificates in any one of the following twelve Harborview trusts Harborview 2006-4, 2006-5, 2006-6, 2006-7, 2006-9, 2006-11, 2006-12, 2006-14, 2007-1, 2007-2, 2007-5 and 2007-7 but who either did not purchase their Certificates directly from Defendants or their agents, or who purchased their Certificates outside the Ten Day Trading Periods, or both;
 - c. Group 3 will be composed of those Certificate holders (hereinafter, the "Dismissed Claimants") who purchased or acquired Certificates in either of the following two Harborview Trusts Harborview 2006-8 and 2006-10.

Certificateholders in Group 1, or Ten Trading Day Claimants, will receive their proportionate share of \$242.5 million of the \$275 million settlement or an average of \$16.73 per \$1,000 of original principal balance of the Certificates before payment of Court-approved attorneys' fees and expenses.

Certificateholders in Group 2, or General Claimants, will receive their proportionate share of \$28.75 million or an estimated average of \$3.52 per \$1,000 of original principal balance of the Certificates before payment of Court-approved attorneys' fees and expenses.

Certificateholders in Group 3, or Dismissed Claimants, will receive their proportionate share of \$3.75 million or an estimated average of \$1.97 per \$1,000 of original principal balance of the Certificates before payment of Court-approved attorneys' fees and expenses.

Settlement Class Members should note, however, that these are only estimates. Settlement Class Members may recover more or less than this amount depending on, among other factors, when their Certificates were purchased or sold, the amount of principal that has been repaid, the amount of principal that has been written off, the estimated value of the Certificates on the date a claim concerning those Certificates was first asserted Action as indicated in the table listing the Date of First Suit found in the at www.HarborviewMBSSettlement.com, the number of Settlement Class Members who submit timely Proof of Claim Forms, and the amount of such claims, and the Plan of Allocation, as more fully described below in this Notice. In addition, the actual recovery of Settlement Class Members may be further reduced by the payment of fees and costs from the Settlement Fund.

You should visit the settlement website, www.HarborviewMBSSettlement.com, to determine which of the three Groups described above your Certificates are in.

Please Note: as set forth in the Plan of Allocation below, only those Settlement Class Members who purchased or otherwise acquired an interest in their Certificate(s) before the date when a claim concerning their Certificate(s) was first asserted in the Action are eligible to potentially recover from the Net Settlement Fund.

- 5. <u>Statement of the Parties' Position on Damages:</u> The Defendants deny all claims of wrongdoing and deny that they are liable to the Plaintiffs and/or the Settlement Class or that the Plaintiffs or other members of the Settlement Class suffered any injury. Moreover, the parties do not agree on the amount of recoverable damages or the average amount of damages per Certificate that would be recoverable if Plaintiffs were to prevail on each of the claims. The issues on which the parties disagree include, but are not limited to: (1) whether the statements made or facts allegedly omitted were material, false or misleading; (2) whether the Defendants are otherwise liable under the securities laws for those statements or omissions; (3) whether all or part of the damages allegedly suffered by Plaintiffs or members of the Settlement Class were caused by economic conditions or factors other than the allegedly false or misleading statements or omissions; (4) whether Plaintiffs and/or Settlement Class Members knew or had reason to know of any alleged misstatements or omissions; and (5) whether Defendants performed adequate due diligence on the underlying mortgage loans prior to selling the Certificates.
- Statement of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses Sought: Prior to final distribution of funds, Lead Counsel will 6. apply to the Court for an award of attorneys' fees from the Settlement Fund in an amount not to exceed 19% of the Settlement Fund (or \$52,250,000.00), net of Court-approved Litigation Expenses, plus interest earned at the same rate and for the same period as earned by the Settlement Fund. In addition, Lead Counsel also will apply for the reimbursement of certain Litigation Expenses paid or incurred in connection with the prosecution and resolution of the Action in an amount not to exceed \$3,000,000 plus interest earned at the same rate and for the same period as earned by the Settlement Fund. Litigation Expenses may include reimbursement of the expenses of Plaintiffs in accordance with 15 U.S.C. §77z-1a(4). Based on the total initial dollar value of the Certificates as stated in the prospectus supplements (without subtracting the principal pay downs received on the Certificates), and assuming all purchasers of the initially offered Certificates elect to participate, if the Court approves Lead Counsel's fee and expense application, the estimated average cost is \$3.27 per \$1,000 in initial certificate value of the Certificates. The actual cost may be more or less than this amount depending on, among other factors, when their Certificates were purchased or sold, the amount of principal that has been repaid, the estimated value of the Certificates on the applicable date claims relating to that Certificate were first asserted in the litigation, the number of Settlement Class Members who timely file Claims, and the Plan of Allocation, as more fully described below in this Notice.
- 7. <u>Identification of Attorneys' Representatives</u>: Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class are being represented by Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC. Any questions regarding the Settlement should be directed to Joel P. Laitman; Christopher Lometti or Michael Eisenkraft at Cohen Milstein, 88 Pine Street, 14th Floor,

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THE SETTLEMENT:	
REMAIN A MEMBER OF THE CLASS.	This is the only way to receive a payment. If you wish to obtain a payment as a member of the Settlement Class, you will need to file a proof of claim form (the "Proof of Claim Form"), which is included with this Notice, postmarked no later than November 14, 2014.
EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE CLASS BY SUBMITTING A WRITTEN REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION SO THAT IT IS <i>RECEIVED</i> NO LATER THAN SEPTEMBER 30, 2014.	Receive no payment pursuant to this Settlement. If you exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you may be able to seek recovery against the Defendants or other Released Parties through other litigation. If, however, you have not brought suit yourself within three years of the offering date of the Certificates you purchased, your ability to bring claims under the federal Securities Act of 1933 may be barred by its statute of repose. <i>See Police & Fire Ret. Sys. v. Indymac MBS, Inc.</i> , 721 F.3d 95 (2d Cir. 2013).
OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT BY SUBMITTING A WRITTEN OBJECTION SO THAT IT IS <i>RECEIVED</i> NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 14, 2014.	Write to the Court and explain why you do not like the Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, or the request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. You cannot object to the Settlement unless you are a member of the Settlement Class and do not validly exclude yourself.
GO TO THE HEARING ON OCTOBER 28, 2014 AT 9:30 A.M., AND FILE A NOTICE OF INTENTION TO APPEAR SO THAT IT IS <i>RECEIVED</i> NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 14, 2014.	Ask to speak in Court about the fairness of the Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, or the request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses.
DO NOTHING.	Receive no payment, remain a Class Member, give up your rights and be bound by the Order and Final Judgment entered by the Court if it approves the Settlement, including the Release of the Released Claims.

WHAT THIS NOTICE CONTAINS Page 5 Why Did I Get This Notice? Page 5 What Is This Case About? What Has Happened So Far? What Are The Plaintiffs' Reasons For The Settlement? Page 8 What Might Happen If There Were No Settlement? Page 9 How Do I Know If I Am Affected By The Settlement? 9 Page How Much Will My Payment Be? When Will I Receive It? Page 9 What Rights Am I Giving Up By Agreeing To The Settlement? Page 12 What Payment Are The Attorneys For The Class Seeking? How Will The Lawyers Be Paid? Page 13 How Do I Participate in the Settlement? What Do I Need To Do? Page 14 What If I Do Not Want To Be Part Of The Settlement? How Do I Exclude Myself? Page 14 When And Where Will The Court Decide Whether To Approve The Settlement? Do I Have To Come To The Hearing? Page 14 May I Speak At The Hearing If I Don't Like The Settlement?

- 4 -

Page 16 Page 16

Can I See The Court File? Who Should I Contact If I Have Questions?

WHY DID I GET THIS NOTICE?

- 8. This Notice is being sent to you pursuant to an order of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court" or "District Court") because you or someone in your family may have purchased or otherwise acquired the Certificates described above. The Court has directed us to send you this Notice because, as a potential Settlement Class Member, you have a right to know about your options before the Court rules on the proposed Settlement of this case. Additionally, you have the right to understand how a class action lawsuit may generally affect your legal rights.
- 9. A class action is a type of lawsuit in which the claims of a number of individuals are resolved together, thus providing the class members with both consistency and efficiency. In a class action lawsuit, the Court selects one or more people, known as class representatives or lead plaintiffs, to sue on behalf of all people with similar claims, commonly known as the class or the class members. Once the class is certified, the Court must resolve all issues on behalf of the class members, except for any persons who choose to exclude themselves from the class. (For more information on excluding yourself from the Class, please read "What If I Do Not Want To Be A Part Of The Settlement? How Do I Exclude Myself?" located below.) In the Action, the Court has directed that Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel have primary responsibility for prosecuting all claims against Defendants on behalf of investors in the Certificates described above.
- 10. The Court in charge of this case is the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and the case is known as *New Jersey Carpenters Vacation Fund, et al., v. The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc, et al.,* Civil Action No. 08 Civ. 5093 (LAP) (the "Action"). The Judge presiding over this case is the Honorable Loretta A. Preska, United States District Judge. The people who are suing are called plaintiffs, and those who are being sued are called defendants. In this case, the primary plaintiffs are referred to as the Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the class, and the defendants are The Royal Bank of Scotland Group, plc, certain of its affiliates that underwrote and issued the Certificates, and certain officers and directors of those entities who signed the registration statements therefore. This Settlement is with all Defendants which includes: The Royal Bank of Scotland Group, plc, RBS Financial Products Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc., RBS Acceptance Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Acceptance, Inc., RBS Securities Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Markets, Inc. d/b/a RBS Greenwich Capital, RBS Holdings USA Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Holdings Inc., Robert J. McGinnis, Carol P. Mathis, Joseph N. Walsh, III, John C. Anderson and James M. Esposito.
- 11. This Notice explains the lawsuit, the Settlement, your legal rights, what benefits are available, who is eligible for them, and how to get them. The purpose of this Notice is to inform you of this case, that it is a class action, how you might be affected, and how to exclude yourself from the Settlement if you wish to do so. It also is being sent to inform you of the terms of the proposed Settlement, and of a hearing to be held by the Court to consider the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the proposed Settlement and the application by Lead Counsel for reimbursement of expenses incurred to date (the "Final Approval Hearing").
- 12. The Final Approval Hearing will be held on October 28, 2014 at 9:30 a.m., before the Honorable Loretta A. Preska at the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, 500 Pearl Street, Courtroom 12A, New York, New York 10007, to determine:
 - (i) whether the proposed Settlement on the terms and conditions provided for in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the "Stipulation") is fair, reasonable and adequate, and should be approved by the Court;
 - (ii) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Action, on the merits and with prejudice, and whether the release by the Settlement Class of the Released Claims should be ordered;
 - (iii) whether the proposed Plan of Allocation is fair and reasonable and should be approved by the Court; and
 - (iv) whether Lead Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses should be approved by the Court.
- 13. This Notice does not express any opinion by the Court concerning the merits of any claim in the Action, and the Court still has to decide whether to approve the Settlement. Any distribution will not be paid until after the completion of all claims processing. Please be patient.

WHAT IS THIS CASE ABOUT? WHAT HAS HAPPENED SO FAR?

- 14. On May 14, 2008, the New Jersey Carpenters Vacation Fund filed a complaint against, *inter alia*, the Defendants, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, Index No. 601451/08, asserting claims under Sections 11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77k, 77l, and 77o (the "Securities Act").
- 15. On June 3, 2008, the Action was removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Civ. No. 08-cv-5093. The case was assigned to the Honorable Harold Baer, Jr.
- 16. On January 22, 2009, notice of the Action was published pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA"), notifying eligible purchasers of their right to move for appointment as lead plaintiff. On June 30, 2009, the District Court appointed the New Jersey Carpenters Vacation Fund and Boilermaker Blacksmith National Pension Trust as Lead Plaintiffs and approved Lead Plaintiffs' selection of Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC as lead counsel.
- 17. On May 19, 2009, Lead Plaintiffs filed the Consolidated First Amended Securities Class Action Complaint (the "First Amended Complaint"). The First Amended Complaint asserted claims concerning the purchase or sale of securities, issued in 15 residential mortgage-backed securities offerings² (the "Fifteen Original Offerings," each of which is sometimes referred to herein as an "Offering"), pursuant or traceable to two Registration Statements and accompanying Prospectuses filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Greenwich Capital Acceptance, Inc. on March 31, 2006 (No. 333-130961) and on March 23, 2007 (No. 333-140279), against The Royal Bank of Scotland Group, plc, RBS Financial Products Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc., RBS Acceptance Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Acceptance, Inc., RBS Acceptance Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital, and RBS Holdings USA Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Holdings Inc. (collectively, the "RBS Defendants"), as well as Robert J. McGinnis, Carol P. Mathis, Joseph N. Walsh, III, John C. Anderson and James M. Esposito (collectively, the "Individual Defendants" and, together with the RBS Defendants, "Defendants").³
- 18. On July 15, 2009, Defendants moved to dismiss the First Amended Complaint.
- 19. On March 26, 2010, the Court issued a memorandum and order (the "March 26, 2010 Order") granting in part and denying in part Defendants' motions to dismiss. In the March 26, 2010 Order, the Court found that Lead Plaintiffs adequately alleged violations of the Securities Act against the Defendants for the Offerings in which the Lead Plaintiffs purchased securities, namely the Harborview Series 2006-4 and Harborview Series 2007-7 Offerings, by alleging Defendants' failure to disclose that the mortgage originators systematically disregarded the applicable underwriting guidelines. The Court dismissed all other offerings from the case on standing grounds. The Court also dismissed claims based on the allegations that credit rating models were outdated, that credit enhancements were inadequate, and that Defendants purportedly omitted disclosure of material conflicts of interest with the Rating Agencies.⁴
- 20. On April 16, 2010, Defendants answered the First Amended Complaint.
- 21. On July 12, 2010, a joint motion to intervene was filed by Lead Plaintiffs, Laborers' Pension Fund and Health and Welfare Department of the Construction and General Laborers' District Counsel of Chicago and Vicinity ("Chicago Laborers"), and Midwest Operating Engineers Pension Trust Fund ("Midwest OE") seeking permission for Chicago Laborers and Midwest OE to intervene in the case to represent purchasers in certain of the Fifteen Original Offerings. On July 30, 2010, a second joint motion to intervene was filed by Lead Plaintiffs and Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System ("IPERS") seeking permission for IPERS to intervene in the case to represent purchasers in certain of the Fifteen Original Offerings. Lead Counsel also represents Chicago Laborers, Midwest OE, and IPERS in this Action. On December 22, 2010, the District Court issued an opinion and order granting the motions to intervene, restoring six of the Fifteen Original Offerings to the Action (the "Intervenor Offerings").⁵
- 22. On July 15, 2010, Lead Plaintiffs moved to certify a class of purchasers of Certificates in the two Offerings in the case at that time, Harborview Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2006-4 and 2007-7, to certify Lead Plaintiffs as

² The Harborview Mortgage Loan Trust Series: 2006-4, 2006-5, 2006-6, 2006-7, 2006-8, 2006-9, 2006-10, 2006-11, 2006-12, 2006-13, 2006-14, 2007-1, 2007-2, 2007-5, and 2007-7 offerings.

³ The First Amended Complaint also asserted claims against Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. ("Rating Agencies"), but the claims against them were dismissed by the Court and the dismissal of the Action with prejudice, as contemplated by this Settlement, will bring these claims to an end.

⁴ The District Court also dismissed claims against the Rating Agencies.

⁵ Harborview Mortgage Loan Trust Series 2006-7, 2006-9, 2006-10, 2006-11, 2006-12, and 2006-14 offerings.

Class Representatives and to appoint Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC as Lead Counsel (the "First Class Certification Motion").

- 23. On January 3, 2011, Lead Plaintiffs filed the Consolidated Second Amended Securities Class Action Complaint, which, *inter alia*, added the claims of Chicago Laborers, Midwest OE, and IPERS.
- 24. On January 18, 2011, the District Court denied the First Class Certification Motion (the "First Class Certification Decision"). While the District Court found that Lead Plaintiffs had satisfied all of the Rule 23(a) factors, including numerosity, commonality, adequacy and typicality, it found pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) that individual issues of knowledge predominated and that the class action device was not the superior method of adjudication, precluding class certification.
- 25. On January 21, 2011, Defendants moved to dismiss the claims asserted by Chicago Laborers, Midwest OE, and IPERS. On April 28, 2011, the District Court issued an order granting in part and denying in part Defendants' motion to dismiss the claims of Chicago Laborers, Midwest OE, and IPERS.
- 26. On February 1, 2011, Lead Plaintiffs filed a petition under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(f) with the Second Circuit requesting interlocutory appeal of the District Court's First Class Certification Decision.
- 27. On April 29, 2011, the Second Circuit granted Lead Plaintiffs' Rule 23(f) motion for an interlocutory appeal of the District Court's First Class Certification Decision.
- 28. In a letter to the District Court dated May 5, 2011, Lead Counsel requested that the Court stay the Action pending the Second Circuit's decision on the appeal of the Court's First Class Certification Decision. On May 12, 2011, the District Court granted Lead Counsel's request to stay the proceedings.
- 29. On April 30, 2012, the Second Circuit affirmed the District Court's denial of class certification on the ground that individual issues of knowledge predominated "without prejudice to further motion practice in the District Court."
- 30. In light of the Second Circuit's decision, Lead Plaintiffs moved the District Court for permission to conduct additional discovery, and on May 7, 2012, the District Court granted that motion, setting an August 6, 2012 deadline for the submission of any additional class certification motions.
- 31. On August 6, 2012, Lead Plaintiffs filed their renewed motion for class certification as to the two Offerings they purchased (the "Second Class Certification Motion"). Lead Plaintiffs restricted the temporal scope of the class to encompass only purchases prior to any downgrades of the Certificates and eliminated the Federal National Mortgage Association and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation from the class definition.
- 32. On October 15, 2012, the Court granted in part the Second Class Certification Motion and appointed Lead Plaintiffs as the class representatives for the two Offerings they purchased (the "Second Class Certification Order"). The Court, however, further limited the class definition to those purchasers who bought the Certificates on the date of each initial offering directly from the issuers.
- 33. On November 5, 2012, Lead Plaintiffs sought reconsideration of the Second Class Certification Order, seeking to expand the class to include those purchasers who bought the Certificates up to ten trading days after the initial offering date, which Defendants opposed.
- 34. On November 16, 2012, Lead Plaintiffs sought reconsideration of the Court's March 26, 2010 Order dismissing claims as to those offerings in which no Lead Plaintiff purchased securities in light of *NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman, Sachs & Co.*, 693 F.3d 145 (2d Cir. 2012) ("*NECA-IBEW*"). Plaintiffs' reconsideration motion sought to reinstate claims with respect to twelve of the Fifteen Original Offerings that had previously been dismissed.
- 35. On November 16, 2012, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Intervenor Offerings as barred by the statute of repose.
- 36. On January 3, 2013, the District Court denied Defendants' motion to dismiss the Intervenor Offerings. In the same Order, the District Court denied Lead Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration under *NECA-IBEW* without prejudice to renewal of the motion following a determination by the U.S. Supreme Court on whether or not to grant *certiorari* in *NECA-IBEW*. The District Court, also in the same Order, granted Lead Plaintiffs' application for modification of the class definition (the "Amended Second Class Certification Order").

- 37. On October 31, 2012 and January 17, 2013, Defendants petitioned the Second Circuit for permission to appeal the District Court's Second Class Certification Order and Amended Second Class Certification Order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(f). The Second Circuit denied both petitions on March 26, 2013.
- 38. On April 30, 2013, following the U.S. Supreme Court's denial of the *certiorari* petition in *NECA-IBEW*, the Court granted Lead Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of the Court's March 26, 2010 Order. After this decision, twelve of the Fifteen Original Offerings were restored to the case, resulting in a total of fourteen offerings being at issue ("Fourteen Remaining Offerings").⁶
- 39. On May 10, 2013, Plaintiffs filed a Consolidated Third Amended Securities Class Action Complaint, and on May 13, 2013 filed a Corrected Consolidated Third Amended Securities Class Action Complaint ("Third Amended Complaint"), which asserted claims in relation to the Fourteen Remaining Offerings in the case.
- 40. On June 10, 2013, Defendants answered the Third Amended Complaint.
- 41. On June 25, 2013, Lead Plaintiffs filed a motion to modify the class to encompass the additional Offerings reinstated by *NECA-IBEW* and add IPERS and Midwest OE as Additional Class Representatives ("Lead Plaintiffs' Third Class Certification Motion.").
- 42. On August 14, 2013, Defendants filed a motion for reconsideration seeking the dismissal of four of the Reinstated Offerings on statute of repose grounds based on the Second Circuit's decision in *Police & Fire Retirement System of Detroit v. IndyMac MBS, Inc,* 721 F.3d 95 (2d Cir. 2013). On December 18, 2013, the District Court granted in part and denied in part Defendants' motion, dismissing two Offerings from the case.
- 43. On December 27, 2013, the District Court granted Lead Plaintiffs' Third Class Certification Motion, expanding the class to encompass the Fourteen Remaining Offerings and appointing Midwest OE and IPERS as additional Class Representatives.
- 44. On or around September 2013, Lead Counsel and Defendants began mediation under the auspices of Judge Layn Phillips, a former Federal District Court Judge. The Settling Parties reached an agreement in principle on February 14, 2014 with respect to the Settlement Amount of \$275 million and certain related terms. The same day, Lead Counsel and Defendants' Counsel notified the District Court and Second Circuit of the agreement in principle to settle the Action.
- 45. On February 24, 2014, the Settling Parties executed a term sheet setting forth certain terms of the Settlement subject to the completion of definitive documentation and Court approval.
- 46. Lead Counsel has conducted extensive discovery relating to the claims and the underlying events and transactions alleged in the Third Amended Complaint. Lead Counsel has analyzed evidence adduced in discovery, including reviewing over 5.8 million pages of documents produced by Defendants and third parties, taking and defending a number of depositions, and gathering and closely examining thousands of loan files. Lead Counsel researched the applicable law with respect to the claims of Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class against the Defendants, as well as the potential defenses thereto, retained and consulted with numerous experts in the areas of damages, loan reunderwriting and due diligence, among others, and has actively litigated this case for the past six years.
- 47. On June 18, 2014, the case was reassigned to the Honorable Loretta A. Preska. On July 17, 2014, the Court authorized this Notice to be sent to potential Class Members, certified the Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement and scheduled the Final Approval Hearing to consider whether to grant final approval of the Settlement.

WHAT ARE THE PLAINTIFFS' REASONS FOR THE SETTLEMENT?

48. Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel believe that the claims asserted against the Defendants have merit. Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel recognize, however, the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to pursue their claims against the Defendants through continued discovery, trial and appeals, as well as the difficulties in establishing liability. Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel have considered the uncertain outcome of trial and appellate risk in complex lawsuits like this one.

⁶ The Harborview Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2006-4, 2006-5, 2006-6, 2006-7, 2006-8, 2006-9, 2006-10, 2006-11, 2006-12, 2006-14, 2007-1, 2007-2, 2007-5, and 2007-7 offerings.

- 49. In light of the risks of continued litigation, Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel believe that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. Plaintiff and Lead Counsel also believe that the Settlement provides a substantial benefit now, namely Defendants' payment of \$275 million U.S. dollars (less the various deductions described in this Notice), as compared to the risk that the claims would produce a similar, smaller, or no recovery after summary judgment, trial and appeals, possibly years in the future.
- 50. The Defendants have denied and continue to deny each and all of the claims alleged by Plaintiffs in the Action. The Defendants expressly have denied and continue to deny all charges of wrongdoing or liability against them arising out of any and all of the conduct, statements, acts or omissions alleged, or that could have been alleged, in the Action. The Defendants have also contended by way of defense, among other things, that all or a portion of the alleged damages to the Settlement Class were caused by economic conditions or factors other than the allegedly false or misleading statements or omissions asserted in the Action and that such damages are not recoverable. The Defendants have further contended, among other things, that the claims are barred by the statute of limitations as to all or some of the members of the Settlement Class. The Defendants also have denied and continue to deny, among other things, the allegations that Plaintiffs or the Settlement Class have suffered any damage, or that Plaintiffs or the Settlement Class were harmed by the conduct alleged in the Action. The Defendants also have taken into account the uncertainty and risks inherent in any litigation, especially in a complex case such as this. Nonetheless, the Defendants have concluded that further conduct of the Action would be protracted and expensive, and that it is desirable that the Action be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation.

WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN IF THERE WERE NO SETTLEMENT?

51. If there were no Settlement and Plaintiffs failed to establish any essential legal or factual element of their claims against the Defendants, neither Plaintiffs nor members of the Settlement Class would recover anything from the Defendants. Also, if the Defendants were successful in proving any of their defenses, the Settlement Class likely would recover substantially less than the amount provided in the Settlement, or nothing at all.

HOW DO I KNOW IF I AM AFFECTED BY THE SETTLEMENT?

- 52. If you are a member of the Settlement Class, you are subject to the Settlement unless you timely request to be excluded. The Settlement Class consists of: all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired an interest in any Certificate, except those Persons that timely and validly request exclusion from the class pursuant to and in accordance with the terms of the Preliminary Approval Order. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are (i) the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, and the Federal Housing Finance Agency acting as conservator for either such entity; and (ii) each Defendant, their officers and directors at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which any Defendant has or had a controlling interest, except for any Investment Vehicle.
- 53. RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE DOES NOT NECESSARILY MEAN THAT YOU ARE A CLASS MEMBER OR THAT YOU ARE ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE PROCEEDS FROM THE SETTLEMENT. IF YOU WISH TO PARTICIPATE IN THE SETTLEMENT, YOU MUST SUBMIT THE ENCLOSED CLAIM FORM POSTMARKED NO LATER THAN NOVEMBER 14, 2014.

HOW MUCH WILL MY PAYMENT BE? WHEN WILL I RECEIVE IT?

I. THE PROPOSED PLAN OF ALLOCATION: GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 54. The Defendants have agreed to pay the Settlement Amount in cash no later than ten (10) business days after preliminary approval of the Settlement by the District Court. At this time, it is not possible to make any determination as to how much individual Class Members may receive from the Settlement.
- 55. The \$275 million settlement amount, and the interest earned thereon while it is held in escrow before distribution, shall be the Settlement Fund. The Settlement Fund, less all Taxes, Tax Expenses, Notice and Administration Costs, and attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses awarded to Lead Counsel (the "Net Settlement Fund"), shall be distributed based on the acceptable Proof of Claim Forms submitted by members of the Settlement Class ("Authorized Claimants"). The Net Settlement Fund will be distributed to Authorized Claimants who timely submit acceptable Proof of Claim Forms under the Plan of Allocation described below, or as otherwise ordered by the Court.

- 56. Your share of the Net Settlement Fund will depend on the aggregate number of Certificates (represented by valid and acceptable Proof of Claim Forms) that members of the Settlement Class submit to the Claims Administrator, relative to the Net Settlement Fund; which Certificates you purchased and when you purchased them; how many Certificates you purchased; whether the claims related to those Certificates have been dismissed; whether you held or sold those Certificates; the date on which you sold those Certificates; and the price at which you sold them, among other factors. At this time, it is not possible to determine how much individual Settlement Class Members may receive from the Settlement.
- 57. A payment to any Authorized Claimant that would amount to less than \$10.00 in total will not be included in the calculation of the Net Settlement Fund, and no payment to those members of the Settlement Class will be made.
- 58. To determine the amount that an Authorized Claimant may recover under the Plan of Allocation, Lead Counsel conferred with a valuation consultant who estimated the values of the Certificates. The proposed Plan of Allocation is generally based upon the statutory measure of damages for claims asserted with respect to material misrepresentations or omissions in the offering documents issued in connection with the Certificates. This Plan of Allocation concerns damages under §11 of the federal Securities Act of 1933. Section 11 concerns liability for untrue statements and omissions in a registration statement, under which losses are calculated as the "difference between the amount paid for the security (not exceeding the price at which the security shall have been disposed of in the market before suit, or (3) the price at which such security shall have been disposed of after suit but before judgment if such damages shall be less than the damages representing the difference between the amount paid for the security (not exceeding the price at which the security was offered to the public) and the value thereof as of the time such suit was brought." Securities Act of 1933, Section 11(e).
- 59. For each Authorized Claimant, a "Recognized Claim" will be calculated. The calculation of a "Recognized Claim," as defined in ¶ 69 below, is not intended to be an estimate of, nor does it indicate, the amount that a Settlement Class Member might have been able to recover after a trial. Nor is the calculation of a Recognized Claim pursuant to the Plan of Allocation an estimate of the amount that will be paid to Authorized Claimants pursuant to the Settlement, which would depend on the total amount of all Recognized Claims. The Recognized Claim formula provides the basis for proportionately allocating the Net Settlement Fund to Authorized Claimants. That computation is only a method to weigh Settlement Class Members' claims against one another. Each Authorized Claimant will receive a pro rata share of the Net Settlement Fund based on his, her or its Recognized Claim.
- 60. The District Court has reserved jurisdiction to allow, disallow, or adjust on equitable grounds the claim of any member of the Class. The Court may approve this Plan of Allocation as proposed or it may modify it without further notice.

II. CALCULATION OF RECOGNIZED LOSS OR GAIN AMOUNTS

- 61. A "Recognized Loss or Gain Amount" should be calculated for each purchase or acquisition of a Certificate. The calculation of the Recognized Loss or Gain Amount will depend on several factors, including (i) which Certificate was purchased or acquired; (ii) when the Certificate was purchased or acquired; (iii) whether it was sold, and if so, when it was sold (*i.e.*, before or after suit) and for how much; and (iv) the value of the Certificate on its applicable "Date of First Suit".⁷
- 62. The Recognized Loss or Gain Amount will be calculated solely on the outstanding "Face Value" (*i.e.*, the principal amount) for each Certificate at the time of sale, or if not sold, the outstanding Face Value as of the applicable Date of First Suit *i.e.*, Authorized Claimants will not be allocated damages related to principal and interest payments they received prior to the Date of First Suit. In each calculation of Recognized Loss or Gain Amount, the Face Value Sold will be limited to 100% of the Face Value Purchased.
- 63. The percentage of the original aggregate principal balance that remains to be distributed in a mortgage-backed security is known as the "Mortgage Factor." We also define the percentage of the original aggregate principal balance that has been written off as the "Distribution Factor." The Factor and Distribution Factor for a specific Certificate on any date will be calculated as follows:

Mortgage Factor = (Certificate's Outstanding Aggregate Principal Balance/Certificate's Original Aggregate Principal Balance)

⁷ The Date of First Suit is the date on which a specific Certificate was first included in the Action. A table listing the Date of First Suit for every Offering and CUSIP can be found at www.HarborviewMBSSettlement.com.

Distribution Factor = (Certificate's Cumulative Principal Distributions/Certificate's Original Aggregate Principal Balance)

The *Mortgage Factor* and *Distribution Factor* for each Certificate for each month and on the applicable Date of First Suit is set forth on the settlement website, www.HarborviewMBSSettlement.com.

- 64. For each calculation of the Recognized Loss or Gain Amount, the purchase price used for the calculation may not exceed the price at which the Certificate was offered to the public. Thus, if the actual purchase price exceeds the price at which the Certificate was offered to the public, the price at which it was offered to the public will be used as the purchase price. If the sales price or the value as of the applicable Date of First Suit exceeds the purchase price, then the calculation will result in a "Recognized Gain Amount" for that Certificate. If you have a Recognized Gain Amount for a Certificate, you will not receive recovery in this Settlement for that Certificate.
- 65. The "Recognized Loss Amount" for each Certificate is to be calculated in a manner consistent with the calculation of losses embodied in §11 of the Securities Act. In no case will the Recognized Loss Amount exceed the loss calculated as of the applicable Date of First Suit.
 - a. The Recognized Loss is zero if the price at which the Certificate was sold or otherwise disposed is greater than the price at which the Certificate was offered to the public or the price at which it was purchased or acquired.
 - b. The loss or gain of the Certificate's value on the applicable Date of First Suit is calculated as follows:

Sale Proceeds that would have been realized on the applicable Date of First Suit

Lessthe Purchase AmountPlusthe cumulative principal distributions between the time of the purchase and the applicable
Date of First Suit.Equals[Face Value at applicable date of First Suit x (Value at applicable date of First Suit) / 100)]Less[Face Value at the purchase date x (Purchase Price / 100)]Pluscumulative principal distributions between the date of purchase and date of First Suit

c. For Certificates sold whether prior to or after the applicable Date of First Suit, the loss or gain of the Certificate's value is calculated as follows:

Sale Proceeds

Less	the Purchase Amount
<u>Plus</u>	the cumulative principal distributions between the time of the purchase and sale.
Equals	[Face Value on the sale date x (Sale Price) / 100)]
Less	[Face Value at the purchase date x (Purchase Price / 100)]
<u>Plus</u>	cumulative principal distributions between the date of purchase and sale date ⁹

If a sale did not result in a complete disposition of an investor's ownership in a particular Certificate (*i.e.*, only a portion of the investor's ownership in the Certificate was sold), a Recognized Loss or Gain Amount, if any, related to the remaining portion of the Certificate would be calculated separately.

- d. For Certificates sold prior to the applicable Date of First Suit, the Recognized Loss or Gain Amount is calculated as the loss or gain of the Certificate's value upon sale (i.e., 65(c) above).
- e. For Certificates sold after the applicable Date of First Suit, the Recognized Loss Amount is calculated as the lesser (in absolute value) of the loss of the Certificate's value on the applicable Date of First Suit (i.e., 65(b) above) or the loss of the Certificate's value upon sale (i.e., 65(c) above). For Certificates sold prior to or after the applicable Date of First Suit, the Recognized Gain Amount is calculated as the greater of the gain of the Certificate's value on the applicable Date of First Suit (i.e., 65(b) above) or the gain of the Certificate's value on the applicable Date of First Suit (i.e., 65(b) above) or the gain of the Certificate's value on the applicable Date of First Suit (i.e., 65(b) above) or the gain of the Certificate's value upon sale (i.e., 65(c) above).

⁸ The *Face Value at applicable date of First Suit* is equal to the original face value of the Certificates purchased times the *Mortgage Factor* on the date of the First Suit. *Cumulative Principal Distributions* between the date of purchase and date of First Suit are calculated based on the change in the *Distribution Factor* between the purchase date and the date of the First Suit times the original face value of the Certificates purchased and sold.

⁹ The *Face Value at applicable purchase or sale date* is equal to the original face value of the Certificates purchased times the *Mortgage Factor* on the purchase or sale date, respectively. *Cumulative Principal Distributions* between the purchase and sale date are calculated based on the change in the *Distribution Factor* between the purchase date and the sale date times the original face value of the Certificates purchased and sold.

- f. For Certificates that were not sold and have been retained, the Recognized Loss or Gain Amount is loss or gain of the Certificate's value on the applicable Date of First Suit calculated in 65(b), above.
- 66. Notwithstanding the above provisions, the Recognized Loss or Gain Amount for any Certificate purchases or acquisitions that occurred after the Date of First Suit is zero.
- 67. Prior to the date of the Settlement, claims relating to Certificates for two of the fourteen Harborview trusts included in the Settlement had been dismissed from the case by the District Court ("Dismissed Certificates")¹⁰ and, for Certificates in the remaining twelve Harborview trusts, the District Court had excluded from the class those persons and entities who purchased those Certificates more than 10 trading days after they were first publicly offered. Thus, at the time of settlement, the only certified class consisted of purchasers of Certificates in the twelve Harborview trusts who bought within ten trading days of each specific date on which the Certificates were first publicly offered. Accordingly, the Plan of Allocation distinguishes amongst three groups:

Group 1 will be composed of those Certificate holders (the "Ten Trading Day Claimants") who purchased or acquired Certificates in any one of the following twelve Harborview trusts – Harborview 2006-4, 2006-5, 2006-6, 2006-7, 2006-9, 2006-11, 2006-12, 2006-14, 2007-1, 2007-2, 2007-5 and 2007-7 – directly from Defendants or their agents within ten trading days of the date when their Certificates were first publicly offered (the "Ten Trading Day Period");

Group 2 will be composed of those Certificate holders (the "General Claimants") who purchased or acquired Certificates in any one of the following twelve Harborview trusts - Harborview 2006-4, 2006-5, 2006-6, 2006-7, 2006-9, 2006-11, 2006-12, 2006-14, 2007-1, 2007-2, 2007-5 and 2007-7 – but who either did not purchase their Certificates directly from Defendants or their agents, or who purchased their Certificates outside the Ten Day Trading Period, or both;

Group 3 will be composed of those Certificate holders (the "Dismissed Claimants") who purchased or acquired Certificates in either of the following two Harborview Trusts – Harborview 2006-8 and 2006-10.

Of the Settlement Amount, approximately 88.18% or \$242.5 million, will be allocated to the Ten Trading Day Claimants; approximately 10.45% of the Settlement Amount, or \$28.75 million, will be allocated to the General Claimants, and approximately 1.36% of the Settlement Amount, or \$3.75 million, will be allocated to the Dismissed Claimants.

- 68. A "Total Recognized Loss by CUSIP" will be calculated for each Authorized Claimant on a CUSIP by CUSIP basis. Accordingly, multiple transactions by an Authorized Claimant in a single CUSIP will be netted; *i.e.*, the total Recognized Gain or Loss Amounts for that CUSIP shall be calculated by (1) totaling the Recognized Loss Amounts for that CUSIP; and (2) subtracting from that total Recognized Loss for a CUSIP cannot be less than zero.
- 69. Each Authorized Participant's "Recognized Claim" is the sum of all that Authorized Claimant's Total Recognized Loss by CUSIPs.

III. DISTRIBUTION OF THE NET SETTLEMENT FUND

- 70. The "Recognized Claim" will be used solely to calculate the relative amount of the Net Settlement Fund for each Authorized Claimant and does not reflect the actual amount an Authorized Claimant may expect to recover from the Net Settlement Fund. The combined Recognized Claims of all Authorized Claimants may be greater than the Net Settlement Fund. If this is the case, and subject to the \$10.00 minimum payment requirement described in § 57 above, each Authorized Claimant shall receive his, her or its pro rata share of the Net Settlement Fund, which shall be his, her or its Recognized Claim divided by the total of all Recognized Claims to be paid, multiplied by the total amount in the Net Settlement Fund.
- 71. Payment pursuant to the Plan of Allocation shall be conclusive against all Authorized Claimants. No Person shall have any claim based on distributions made substantially in accordance with the Stipulation and the Settlement contained therein, the Plan of Allocation, or further order(s) of the Court against Lead Counsel, Plaintiffs, Settlement Class Members, the Claims Administrator, Defendants and the Released Parties (defined below), or any person designated by Lead Counsel. All members of the Settlement Class who fail to timely submit an acceptable Proof of Claim Form by the deadline set by the Court, or such other deadline as may be ordered by the Court, or otherwise allowed, shall be forever barred from receiving any payments pursuant to the Settlement, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the terms of the Settlement, including the release of the Released Claims.

¹⁰ The Certificates in the Harborview 2006-8 and 2006-10 trusts.

- 72. The Court has reserved jurisdiction to allow, disallow, or adjust on equitable grounds the claim of any member of the Settlement Class.
- 73. The Plan of Allocation set forth herein is the proposed plan submitted by Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel for the Court's approval. The Court may approve this plan as proposed or it may modify it without further notice to the Settlement Class.

WHAT RIGHTS AM I GIVING UP BY AGREEING TO THE SETTLEMENT?

- 74. If the Settlement is approved, the Court will enter a judgment (the "Judgment"). The Judgment will dismiss with prejudice the claims in the Action and will provide that Plaintiffs and all other Class Members, on behalf of themselves and any of their personal representatives, spouses, domestic, partners, trustees, heirs, executors, administrators, successors or assigns shall be deemed to have and by operation of the Judgment shall have fully and finally released, dismissed and forever discharged the Released Claims, and shall forever be enjoined from pursuing any or all Released Claims (including, without limitation, instigating, voting in favor of or otherwise supporting the assertion of any claim asserting contractual repurchase (or other "putback") rights with respect to any residential mortgage loan included in any of the Fourteen Remaining Offerings other than in any action filed prior to February 14, 2014), whether directly or indirectly, whether on their own behalf or otherwise, and regardless of whether or not such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim Form (except that the foregoing provision shall not apply to any such representative, spouse, domestic partner, trustee, heir, executor, administrator, successor or assign who independently would be a member of the Settlement Class and timely excludes himself, herself or itself).
- 75. There is a risk that Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which they now know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, but Plaintiffs shall expressly fully, finally and forever settle and release and each Settlement Class Member shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have fully, finally and forever settled and released any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, reckless, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. Plaintiffs acknowledge, and Class Members by law and operation of the Judgment shall be deemed to have acknowledged, that the inclusion of "Unknown Claims" (defined below) in the definition of Released Claims was separately bargained for and was a material element of the Settlement.
- 76. The Judgment also will provide that the Defendants and each of the other Released Parties will be deemed to have released, dismissed and forever discharged Plaintiffs, each and all of the Settlement Class Members, and Lead Counsel from all claims (including, without limitation, unknown claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, Settlement or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims.
- 77. "Released Claims" means any and all claims (including "Unknown Claims," as defined below), demands, rights, liabilities, and causes of action of every nature and description, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, matured or unmatured, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, whether arising under federal, state, common or foreign law or at equity, that Plaintiffs or any Settlement Class Member (a) asserted in this Action, or (b) could have asserted in the Action or in any other proceeding or forum arising from or related in any way to the acts, failures to act, transactions, facts, events, matters, disclosures, statements, occurrences, representations, or omissions asserted or that could have been asserted in the Action against any Released Party (defined below); provided, however, that "Released Claims" shall not include a claim, if any, filed prior to February 14, 2014 solely and exclusively to the extent such claim asserted contractual repurchase rights with respect to any residential mortgage loan including in any of the following Harborview trusts: Harborview 2006-4, Harborview 2006-5, Harborview 2006-6, Harborview 2006-7, Harborview 2006-8, Harborview 2006-9, Harborview 2006-10, Harborview 2006-11, Harborview 2006-12, Harborview 2006-14, Harborview 2007-1, Harborview 2007-2, Harborview 2007-5, and Harborview 2007-7.
- 78. "Released Parties" means (i) each Defendant and his, her or its parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates and all of their respective past, current, and future respective directors, officers, employees, partners, insurers, co-insurers, reinsurers, agents, controlling shareholders, shareholders, attorneys, accountants, auditors, advisors, investment advisors, personal or legal representatives, predecessors, successors, divisions, joint ventures, assigns, spouses, heirs, related or affiliated entities, and (ii) any entity in which any Defendant has a controlling interest, and all of their respective property.

WHAT PAYMENT ARE THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE CLASS SEEKING? HOW WILL THE LAWYERS BE PAID?

- 79. Lead Counsel has not received any payment for its services in pursuing claims against Defendants on behalf of the Settlement Class, nor has Lead Counsel been reimbursed for its out-of-pocket expenses. Before final approval of the Settlement, Lead Counsel intends to apply to the Court for an award of attorneys' fees from the Settlement Fund in an amount not to exceed 19% of the Settlement Fund (or \$52,250,000.00), net of Court-approved Litigation Expenses, plus interest at the same rate and for the same time period as earned by the Settlement Fund. At the same time, Lead Counsel also intends to apply for the reimbursement of certain Litigation Expenses in an amount not to exceed \$3,000,000.00, plus interest at the same rate and for the same rate and for the same time period as earned by the Settlement Fund. Litigation Expenses may include reimbursements for, among other things, lost wages and litigation related expenses of Plaintiffs in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 77z-1a(4). The sums approved by the Court will be paid from the Settlement Fund. Members of the Settlement Class are not personally liable for the payment of these sums.
- 80. Defendants take no position on the request by Lead Counsel for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses or on the allocation of attorneys' fees and expenses among counsel representing the Settlement Class.

HOW DO I PARTICIPATE IN THE SETTLEMENT? WHAT DO I NEED TO DO?

- 81. If you purchased Certificates described above, and you are not excluded by the definition of the Settlement Class and you do not timely exclude yourself from the Settlement Class in the manner provided in this Notice, then you are a member of the Settlement Class and you will be bound by the proposed Settlement if the Court approves it, and by any judgment or determination of the Court affecting the Settlement Class. If you are a member of the Settlement Class, you must submit a Proof of Claim Form and supporting documentation to establish your entitlement to share in the Settlement. A Proof of Claim Form is included with this Notice, or you may go to the website maintained by the Claims Administrator for the Settlement to request that a Proof of Claim Form be mailed to you. The website is www.HarborviewMBSSettlement.com. You may also request a Proof of Claim Form by calling toll-free 1-866-893-8437 or emailing info@HarborviewMBSSettlement.com. Copies of the Proof of Claim Form can also be downloaded from Lead Counsel's website at www.cohenmilstein.com. Those who exclude themselves from the Settlement Class, and those who do not submit timely and valid Proof of Claim Forms with adequate supporting documentation will not be eligible to share in the Settlement. Please retain all records of your ownership of, or transactions in the Certificates, as they may be needed to document your claim.
- 82. As a Settlement Class Member, you are represented by Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel unless you enter an appearance through counsel of your own choice at your own expense. You are not required to retain your own counsel, but if you choose to do so, such counsel must file a notice of appearance on your behalf and must serve copies of his or her notice of appearance on the attorneys listed in the section below entitled, "When and Where Will the Court Decide Whether to Approve the Settlement?"
- 83. If you do not wish to remain a Settlement Class Member, you may exclude yourself from the Settlement Class by following the instructions in the section below entitled, "What If I Do Not Want To Be A Part Of The Settlement? How Do I Exclude Myself?"
- 84. If you wish to object to the Settlement or any of its terms, the proposed Plan of Allocation, or Lead Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and if you do not exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you may present your objections by following the instructions in the section below entitled, "When and Where Will the Court Decide Whether to Approve the Settlement?"

WHAT IF I DO NOT WANT TO BE A PART OF THE SETTLEMENT? HOW DO I EXCLUDE MYSELF?

85. Each Settlement Class Member will be bound by all determinations and judgments in this lawsuit, including those concerning the Settlement, whether favorable or unfavorable, unless such person or entity mails, by first class mail (or its equivalent outside the U.S.), or otherwise delivers a written Request for Exclusion from the Settlement Class, addressed to Harborview MBS Claims Administrator, P.O. Box 43253, Providence, RI 02940-3253. The exclusion request must be received no later than September 30, 2014. Each Request for Exclusion must clearly indicate the name, address and telephone number of the person seeking exclusion, that the sender requests to be excluded from the Settlement Class in the New Jersey Carpenters Vacation Fund v. The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc, et al., Civil Action No. 08 Civ. 5093 (LAP), and must be signed by such person. Such persons requesting exclusion are also directed to provide the following information: (i) the identity and original face value of Certificates purchased (or otherwise acquired) or sold; (ii) the prices or other consideration paid or received for

such Certificates; (iii) the date of each purchase or sale transaction; and (iv) proper evidence of the transactions. Requests for Exclusion will not be valid if they do not include the information set forth above and are not received within the time stated above, unless the Court otherwise determines.

86. If a person or entity requests to be excluded from the Settlement Class, that person or entity will not receive any benefit provided for in the Stipulation.

WHEN AND WHERE WILL THE COURT DECIDE WHETHER TO APPROVE THE SETTLEMENT? DO I HAVE TO COME TO THE HEARING? MAY I SPEAK AT THE HEARING IF I DON'T LIKE THE SETTLEMENT?

87. If you do not wish to object in person to the proposed Settlement and/or the application for reimbursement of litigation expenses, you do not need to attend the Final Approval Hearing. You can object to or participate in the Settlement without attending the Final Approval Hearing.

- 88. The Final Approval Hearing will be held on October 28, 2014, at 9:30 a.m., before the Honorable Loretta A. Preska, at the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, 500 Pearl Street, Courtroom 12A, New York, New York 10007. The Court reserves the right to approve the Settlement at or after the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the members of the Settlement Class. The Court has the right to approve the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation or the request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses at or after the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the members of the Settlement Class.
- 89. Any Settlement Class Member who does not request exclusion in accordance with ¶¶ 85-86 above may object to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or Lead Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. Objections or oppositions must be in writing. You must file any written objection or opposition, together with copies of all other supporting papers and briefs, with the Clerk's Office at the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York at the address set forth below on or before October 14, 2014. You must also serve the papers on Lead Counsel for the Settlement Class and counsel for the Defendants at the addresses set forth below so that the papers are *received* on or before October 14, 2014.

Clerk's Office

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Lead Counsel for the Class

COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC Joel P. Laitman Christopher Lometti Michael Eisenkraft Kenneth M. Rehns 88 Pine Street, 14th Floor New York, NY 10005

Steven J. Toll 1100 New York Ave, N.W. Ste 500 West Washington, D.C. 20005

Counsel For Defendants

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP Thomas C. Rice Alan Turner 425 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017

- 90. Any objection must include: (a) the full name, address, and phone number of the objecting Settlement Class Member; (b) a list and documentation evidencing all of the Settlement Class Member's transactions involving the Certificates, including brokerage confirmation receipts or other competent documentary evidence of such transactions, including the amount and date of each purchase or sale and the prices paid and/or received; (c) a written statement of all grounds for the objection accompanied by any legal support for the objection; (d) copies of any papers, briefs or other documents upon which the objection is based; (e) a list of all persons who will be called to testify in support of the objection; (f) a statement of whether the objector's counsel have appeared either as settlement objectors or as counsel for objectors in the preceding five years; and (h) the objector's signature, even if represented by counsel. Persons who intend to object to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation and/or to Lead Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and who desire to present evidence at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 91. You may not object to the Settlement, or any aspect of it, if you are not a member of the Settlement Class or if you excluded yourself from the Settlement Class.

- 92. If you wish to be heard orally at the Final Approval Hearing in opposition to the approval of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or Lead Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and if you have filed and served a timely written objection as described above, you also must notify the above counsel on or before October 14, 2014, concerning your intention to appear. Persons who intend to object and desire to present evidence at the Final Approval Hearing must include in their written objections, the identity of any witnesses they may call to testify and exhibits they intend to introduce into evidence at the hearing.
- 93. You may file a written objection without having to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. You may not appear at the Final Approval Hearing to present your objection, however, unless you first filed and served a written objection in accordance with the procedures described above, unless the Court orders otherwise.
- 94. You are not required to hire an attorney to represent you in making written objections or in appearing at the Final Approval Hearing. If you decide to hire an attorney, which will be at your own expense, however, he or she must file a notice of appearance with the Court and serve it on Lead Counsel so that the notice is received on or before October 14, 2014.
- 95. If you object to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation and/or Lead Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, or otherwise request to be heard at the Final Approval Hearing in the manner stated above, you are submitting to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to the subject matter of the Settlement, including, but not limited to, the release of the Released Claims contained in the Judgment. If the Court overrules your objection and approves the Settlement or the part of the Settlement to which you have objected, you only will potentially share in the Net Settlement Fund if you file a Proof of Claim Form in the manner stated in ¶ 81 above and the Claims Administrator approves your claim.
- 96. The Settlement Hearing may be adjourned by the Court without further written notice to the Settlement Class. If you intend to attend the Final Approval Hearing, you should confirm the date and time with Lead Counsel.

Unless the Court orders otherwise, any Settlement Class Member who does not object in the manner described above will be deemed to have waived any objection and will be forever foreclosed from making any objection to the proposed Settlement or Lead Counsel's request for reimbursement of expenses. Settlement Class Members do not need to appear at the hearing or take any other action to indicate their approval.

WHAT IF I BOUGHT CERTIFICATES ON SOMEONE ELSE'S BEHALF?

97. If you purchased or otherwise acquired the Certificates for the beneficial interest of a person or organization other than yourself, you must either (i) send a copy of this Notice to the beneficial owner of such Certificates, postmarked no later than fourteen (14) days after you receive this Notice, or (ii) provide to Harborview MBS Claims Administrator, P.O. Box 43253, Providence, RI 02940-3253, the names and addresses of such persons no later than fourteen (14) days after you receive this Notice. If you choose the second option, the Claims Administrator will send a copy of the Notice to the beneficial owner. Upon full compliance with these directions, such nominees may seek reimbursement of their reasonable expenses actually incurred, by providing the Claims Administrator with proper documentation supporting the expenses for which reimbursement is sought. Copies of this Notice may also be obtained by calling Lead Counsel at (212) 838-7797 and may be downloaded from the settlement website, www.HarborviewMBSSettlement.com or from Lead Counsel's website, www.cohenmilstein.com.

CAN I SEE THE COURT FILE? WHO SHOULD I CONTACT IF I HAVE QUESTIONS?

98. This Notice contains only a summary of the terms of the proposed Settlement. More detailed information about the matters involved in the Action is available at www.HarborviewMBSSettlement.com, including, among other documents, copies of the Stipulation and the Third Amended Complaint. All inquiries concerning this Notice should be directed to:

HARBORVIEW MBS CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR P.O. Box 43253 Providence, RI 02940-3253 Toll-Free: 1-866-893-8437

OR

Joel P. Laitman, Esq. Christopher Lometti, Esq. Michael Eisenkraft, Esq. Kenneth M. Rehns, Esq.

COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC 88 Pine Street, 14th Floor

New York, NY 10005 (212) 838-7797

jlaitman@cohenmilstein.com clometti@cohenmilstein.com meisenkraft@cohenmilstein.com krehns@cohenmilstein.com

Lead Counsel

DO NOT CALL OR WRITE THE COURT OR THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF COURT REGARDING THIS NOTICE.

Dated: July 17, 2014

By Order of the Clerk of Court United States District Court for the Southern District of New York